

Essential spectra of difference operators on \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic graphs

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 10109

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/40/33/012>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.144

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:10

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Essential spectra of difference operators on \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic graphs

Vladimir S Rabinovich¹ and Steffen Roch²

¹ Instituto Politécnico Nacional, ESIME-Zacatenco, Av. IPN, edif. 1, México D.F., 07738, Mexico

² Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstrasse 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

E-mail: vladimir_rabinovich@hotmail.com and roch@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Received 18 April 2007, in final form 10 July 2007

Published 1 August 2007

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/10109

Abstract

Let (X, ρ) be a discrete metric space. We suppose that the group \mathbb{Z}^n acts freely on X and that the number of orbits of X with respect to this action is finite. Then we call X a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete metric space. We examine the Fredholm property and essential spectra of band-dominated operators on $l^p(X)$ when $1 < p < \infty$. Our approach is based on the theory of band-dominated operators on \mathbb{Z}^n and their limit operators. In the case where X is the set of vertices of a combinatorial graph, the graph structure defines a Schrödinger operator on $l^p(X)$ in a natural way. We illustrate our approach by determining the essential spectra of Schrödinger operators with slowly oscillating potential both on zig-zag and on hexagonal graphs, the latter being related to nano-structures.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Tb, 03.65.Db

Mathematics Subject Classification: 81Q10, 46N50, 47B36

1. Introduction

In the past years, spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on quantum graphs have attracted a lot of attention due to their interesting mathematical properties and due to existing and expected applications in nano-structures as well (see, for instance, [4, 10, 40]). Quantum graph models also occur in chemistry and physics (see [28, 39] and [4, 16] and the references therein). The spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on quantum graphs were studied by P Kuchment and collaborators in a series of papers [15–20]. Direct and inverse spectral problems for Schrödinger operators on graphs connected with zig-zag carbon nano-tubes were considered in [13, 14]. Note that the structure of the spectra of periodic difference operators is essentially different from the continuous case. For instance, a periodic difference operator can possess eigenfunctions with compact support ([18, 20]), whereas this cannot happen

for a periodic differential operator of a second kind, which has an absolutely continuous spectrum.

It has been pointed out in [5, 27] and [17, 18] that the determination of the spectrum of a magnetic Laplacian on an equilateral quantum graph (i.e., a graph consisting of identical segments with the same potentials on them) can be reduced to the study of the spectrum of a discrete magnetic Laplacian. This observation makes difference operators on combinatorial graphs to an essential tool in the theory of differential operators on quantum graphs.

The main theme of this paper is the essential spectrum of difference operators (with the Schrödinger operators as a prominent example) acting on the spaces $l^p(X)$ where X is the set of the vertices of a combinatorial graph Γ . We exclusively consider discrete graphs Γ on which the group \mathbb{Z}^n acts freely and which have a finite fundamental domain with respect to this action.

For every $1 < p < \infty$, we introduce a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ of so-called band-dominated difference operators on $l^p(X)$. Following [33, 34] and [35], we associate with every operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$ a family $\text{op}_p(A)$ of *limit operators* and show that an operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$ is *Fredholm* on $l^p(X)$ if and only if all operators in $\text{op}_p(A)$ are invertible and if the norms of their inverses are uniformly bounded. In general, the limit operators of an operator A are simpler objects than the operator A itself. Thus, the limit operators method often provides an effective tool to study the Fredholm property of operators in $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$.

For operators in the so-called Wiener algebra $\mathcal{W}(X)$ (which is a non-closed subalgebra of every algebra $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$), the uniform boundedness of norms of inverse operators to limit operators follows already from their invertibility. This basic fact implies the useful identity

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \bigcup_{A_h \in \text{op } A} \text{sp } A_h, \quad (1)$$

where the set of the limit operators of A , the spectra $\text{sp } A_h$ of the limit operators of A and, hence, also the essential spectrum $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A$ of A , are independent of p .

In the case of $X = \mathbb{Z}^n$, formula (1) was obtained in [33, 35]. In [31], we applied this formula to electro-magnetic Schrödinger operators on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n . In particular, we determined the essential spectrum of the Hamiltonian of the 3-particle problem on \mathbb{Z}^n .

In [29], one of the authors obtained an identity similar to (1) for perturbed pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}^n . He applied this result to study the location of the essential spectra of electro-magnetic Schrödinger, square-root Klein–Gordon, and Dirac operators under general assumptions with respect to the behavior of magnetic and electric potentials at infinity. On the basis of this method, he also gave a simple and transparent proof of the well-known Hunziker, van Winter, Zhislin theorem (HWZ-theorem) on the location of essential spectra of multi-particle Hamiltonians.

It should be noted that formulae similar to (1) have been obtained independently (but later) in [22] by means of admissible geometric methods. We also mention the papers [9, 8, 24, 3] and the references therein where C^* -algebra techniques were applied to study essential spectra of Schrödinger operators.

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we collect some auxiliary material from [35] on matrix band-dominated operators on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n . In section 3 we introduce the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ of band-dominated operators acting on $l^p(X)$ where X is a periodic discrete metric space on which the group \mathbb{Z}^n acts freely. We construct an isomorphism between the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ and the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ of all (block) band-dominated operators on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ where N is the number of points in the fundamental domain of X with respect to the action of \mathbb{Z}^n . Applying this isomorphism and the results of section 2, we

derive necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$ to be Fredholm. We also introduce a Wiener algebra $\mathcal{W}(X)$ and derive formula (1) for operators in $\mathcal{W}(X)$.

For later use, we recall some facts on periodic band-dominated operators in section 4. An operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$ is periodic if it commutes with each operator L_h of left shift by $h \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Periodic operators are distinguished by the fact that $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \text{sp} A$. With every periodic operator $A \in \mathcal{W}(X)$, there is associated a continuous matrix function σ_A called the symbol of A , which owns the property that the eigenvalues of σ_A give the spectrum of A . In the terminology of [16, 17], σ_A is the Floquet transform of A . We prefer to follow the theory of discrete convolutions and use the discrete Fourier transform to define σ_A .

In section 5 we consider operators in the Wiener algebra $\mathcal{W}(X)$ with slowly oscillating coefficients. These operators are distinguished by two remarkable properties: their limit operators are periodic operators, and all limit operators belong to the Wiener algebra again. Via formula (1) we thus obtain a complete description of the essential spectra of operators with slowly oscillating coefficients.

In section 6 we apply these results to Schrödinger operators with slowly oscillating electrical potentials. As already mentioned, every \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic graph induces a related Schrödinger operator in a natural way (it is only this place where the graph structure becomes important). As illustrations we calculate the essential spectra of Schrödinger operators with slowly oscillating potentials on the *zig-zag* graph and on the *hexagonal* graph. The spectra of periodic Hamiltonians on zig-zag and hexagonal graphs connected with carbon nano-structures were considered in [13, 14, 19]. Note that slowly oscillating perturbations of periodic operators can change the spectrum of the unperturbed operator drastically. For instance, gaps in the spectrum of the unperturbed operator can be closed by the essential spectrum of the perturbed operator.

In section 7 we examine the essential spectrum of the Hamiltonian of the motion of two particles on a periodic graph Γ around a heavy nucleus. For the lattice $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ we considered this problem in [31]. See also the papers [1, 2, 21, 25, 26] and the references therein which are devoted to discrete multi-particle problems.

The limit operators approach does also apply to study the essential spectrum of pseudodifferential operators on periodic quantum graphs. We plan to develop these ideas in a forthcoming paper.

The authors are grateful for the support by CONACYT (Project 43432) and by the German Research Foundation (Grant 444 MEX-112/2/05).

2. Band-dominated operators on \mathbb{Z}^n

In this section we fix some notations and recall some facts concerning the Fredholm property of band-dominated operators on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. The Fredholm properties of these operators are fairly well understood. All details can be found in [33]; see also the monograph [35] for a comprehensive account.

We will use the following notations. Given a Banach space X , let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ refer to the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X and $\mathcal{K}(X)$ to the closed ideal of the compact operators. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called a *Fredholm operator* if its kernel $\ker A := \{x \in X : Ax = 0\}$ and its cokernel $\text{coker} A := X/A(X)$ are finite dimensional. Equivalently, A is Fredholm if the coset $A + \mathcal{K}(X)$ is invertible in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{K}(X)$. The *essential spectrum* of A is the set of all complex numbers λ for which the operator $A - \lambda I$ is not Fredholm on X , whereas the discrete spectrum of A consists of all isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. We denote the essential spectrum of A by $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A$, the discrete spectrum by $\text{sp}_{\text{dis}} A$ and the usual spectrum by $\text{sp} A$. Sometimes we also write

$\text{sp}(A : X \rightarrow X)$ instead of $\text{sp } A$ in order to emphasize the underlying space X (with obvious modifications for the essential and the discrete spectrum). Clearly,

$$\text{sp}_{\text{dis}}(A) \subseteq \text{sp}(A) \setminus \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(A)$$

for every operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If A is self-adjoint, then equality holds in this inclusion.

Let $p \geq 1$ be a real number and n a positive integer. As usual, we write $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for the Banach space of all functions $u : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$\|u\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}^p := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |u(x)|^p < \infty$$

and $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for the Banach space of all bounded functions $u : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |u(x)|.$$

For every positive integer N , let $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N$ stand for the Banach space of all vectors $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$ of functions $u_i \in l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N}^p := \sum_{i=1}^N \|u_i\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}^p.$$

Likewise, one can identify $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N$ with the Banach space $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ of all functions $u : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ for which

$$\|u\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)}^p := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{i=1}^N |u_i(x)|^p < \infty.$$

Clearly, the Banach spaces $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N$ and $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ are isometric to each other. We also consider the Banach spaces $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N$ and $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with norms

$$\|u\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)^N} := \sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|u_i\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} |u_i(x)|.$$

Again, these spaces are isometric to each other in a natural way. Note also that $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^{N \times N})$ can be made to a C^* -algebra by providing the matrix algebra $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with a C^* -norm.

We consider operators on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ which are constituted by shift operators and by operators of multiplication by bounded functions. The latter are defined as follows: For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the shift operator V_α is the isometry acting on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ by $(V_\alpha u)(x) := u(x - \alpha)$. Further, each function a in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^{N \times N})$ induces a multiplication operator aI on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ via $(au)(x) := a(x)u(x)$. Clearly,

$$\|aI\|_{\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))} = \|a\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^{N \times N})}.$$

A *band operator* on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is an operator of the form

$$A = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha V_\alpha \tag{2}$$

with coefficients $a_\alpha \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^{N \times N})$. The closure in $\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$ of the set of all band operators is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$. We denote this algebra by $\mathcal{A}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$ and call its elements *band-dominated operators* (BDO for short). Analogously, band-dominated operators on $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ are defined.

Our main tool to study the Fredholm property of band-dominated operators are the associated limit operators.

Definition 1. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$, and let $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a sequence tending to infinity. A linear operator A_h is called the limit operator of A with respect to the sequence h if

$$V_{-h(m)}AV_{h(m)} \rightarrow A_h \quad \text{and} \quad V_{-h(m)}A^*V_{h(m)} \rightarrow A_h^*$$

strongly as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We let $\text{op}_p A$ denote the set of all limit operators of A .

Here and in what follows, convergence of a sequence in \mathbb{Z}^n to infinity means convergence of this sequence to infinity in the one-point compactification of \mathbb{Z}^n .

There are operators on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ which do not possess limit operators at all. But if A is a band-dominated operator then one can show via a Cantor diagonal argument that every sequence h tending to infinity has a subsequence g for which the limit operator A_g exists. Moreover, the operator spectrum of A stores the complete information on the Fredholm property of A , as the following theorem states. (In the case of $n = 1$ there is also a nice formula for the Fredholm index of A which expresses this index in terms of local indices of the limit operators of A , see [32].)

Theorem 2. An operator $A \in \mathcal{A}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$ is Fredholm if and only if all limit operators of A are invertible and if

$$\sup_{A_h \in \text{op}_p(A)} \|A_h^{-1}\| < \infty. \tag{3}$$

The uniform boundedness condition (3) is often difficult to check: It is one thing to verify the invertibility of an operator and another one to provide a good estimate for the norm of its inverse. It is therefore of vital importance to single out classes of band-dominated operators for which this condition is automatically satisfied. One of these classes is defined by imposing conditions on the decay of the norms of the coefficients. More precisely, we consider band-dominated operators of the form

$$A := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_\alpha V_\alpha,$$

where

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|a_\alpha\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^{N \times N})} < \infty. \tag{4}$$

One can show that the set $W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ of all operators with property (4) forms an algebra and that the term on the left-hand side of (4) defines a norm which makes $W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ to a Banach algebra. We refer to this algebra as the *Wiener algebra* and write $\|A\|_{W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)}$ for the norm of an operator in $W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$. Clearly, operators in the Wiener algebra are bounded on each of the spaces $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ (including $p = \infty$) and

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))} \leq \|A\|_{W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)}.$$

Hence, $W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$ for every p .

One important property of the Wiener algebra is its inverse closedness in each of the algebras $\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$, i.e., if $A \in W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ has an inverse in $\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$, then A^{-1} belongs to $W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ again. This fact implies that the spectrum of an operator $A \in W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ considered as acting on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ does not depend on $p \in (1, \infty)$. Also the operator spectrum $\text{op}_p(A)$ proves to be independent of p , which justifies to write $\text{op} A$

instead. Note finally that all limit operators of operators in the Wiener algebra belong to the Wiener algebra again.

For operators in the Wiener algebra, the Fredholm criterion in theorem 2 reduces to the following much simpler assertion.

Theorem 3. *Let $A \in W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$. The operator A is Fredholm on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ if and only if there exists a $p_0 \in [1, \infty]$ such that all limit operators of A are invertible on $l^{p_0}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$.*

Theorem 3 has the following useful consequence.

Theorem 4. *For $A \in W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$, the essential spectra of $A : l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N) \rightarrow l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ do not depend on $p \in (1, \infty)$, and*

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \bigcup_{A_h \in \text{op } A} \text{sp } A_h. \quad (5)$$

3. BDO on periodic discrete metric spaces

3.1. Periodic discrete metric spaces

By a *discrete metric space* we mean a countable set X together with a metric ρ such that every ball

$$B_r(x_0) := \{x \in X : \rho(x, x_0) \leq r\}$$

is a finite set. For each discrete metric space X , we introduce some standard Banach spaces over X . For $p \in (1, \infty)$, let $l^p(X)$ denote the Banach space of all complex-valued functions u on X with norm

$$\|u\|_{l^p(X)}^p := \sum_{x \in X} |u(x)|^p,$$

and write $l^\infty(X)$ for the Banach space of all bounded functions u of X with norm

$$\|u\|_{l^\infty(X)} := \sup_{x \in X} |u(x)|.$$

A *periodic discrete metric space* is a discrete metric space provided with the free action of the group \mathbb{Z}^n . More precisely, let X be a discrete metric space, and let there be a mapping

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \times X \rightarrow X, \quad (\alpha, x) \rightarrow \alpha \cdot x$$

satisfying

$$0 \cdot x = x \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha + \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x)$$

for arbitrary elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x \in X$, which leaves the metric invariant,

$$\rho(\alpha \cdot x, \alpha \cdot y) = \rho(x, y) \quad (6)$$

for all elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $x, y \in X$. Recall also that the group \mathbb{Z}^n acts *freely* on X if whenever the equality $x = \alpha \cdot x$ holds for elements $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ then, necessarily, $\alpha = 0$.

For each element $x \in X$, consider its orbit $\{\alpha \cdot x \in X : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ with respect to the action of \mathbb{Z}^n . Any two orbits are either disjoint or identical. Hence, there is a binary equivalence relation on X , by calling two points equivalent if they belong to the same orbit. The set of all orbits of X with respect to the action of \mathbb{Z}^n is denoted by X/\mathbb{Z}^n . A basic assumption

throughout what follows is that the orbit space X/\mathbb{Z}^n is *finite*. Thus, there is a finite subset $\mathcal{M} := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ of X such that the orbits

$$X_j := \{\alpha \cdot x_j \in X : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

satisfy $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ if $x_i \neq x_j$ and $\cup_{i=1}^N X_i = X$. If all these conditions are satisfied then we call X a *periodic discrete metric space* with respect to \mathbb{Z}^n or simply \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic.

The free action of \mathbb{Z}^n on X guarantees that the mapping

$$U_j : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow X_j, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \cdot x_j$$

is a bijection for every $j = 1, \dots, N$. For each complex-valued function f on X , let $Uf : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ be the function

$$(Uf)(\alpha) := ((U_1f)(\alpha), \dots, (U_Nf)(\alpha)).$$

Clearly, the mapping U is a linear isometry from $l^p(X)$ onto $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$, and the mapping $A \mapsto UAU^{-1}$ is an isometric isomorphism from $\mathcal{L}(l^p(X))$ onto $\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$ for every $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Another consequence of our assumptions is that

$$\lim_{\mathbb{Z}^n \ni \alpha \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\alpha \cdot x, y) = \infty \tag{7}$$

for all points $x, y \in X$. Indeed, suppose that (7) is wrong. Then there are points $x, y \in X$, a positive constant M , and a sequence α of pairwise different points in \mathbb{Z}^n such that

$$\rho(\alpha(n) \cdot x, y) \leq M \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

The free action of \mathbb{Z}^n on X implies that $(\alpha(n) \cdot x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of pairwise different points in X . Hence, (8) implies that the ball with center y and radius M contains infinitely many points, a contradiction.

3.2. Band-dominated operators on X

Let X be a periodic discrete metric space and $p \in [1, \infty)$. We consider linear operators A on $l^p(X)$ for which there exists a function $k_A \in l^\infty(X \times X)$ such that

$$(Au)(x) = \sum_{y \in X} k_A(x, y)u(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \tag{9}$$

and for all finitely supported functions u on X (note that the latter form a dense subspace of $l^p(X)$). We call k_A the *generating function* of the operator A . It is easily seen that every bounded operator A on $l^p(X)$ is of this form and is, thus, generated by a bounded function. The converse is certainly not true. It is also clear that every operator A determines its generating function uniquely, since

$$(A\delta_y)(x) = k_A(x, y),$$

where δ_y is the function on X which is 1 at y and 0 at all other points.

An operator A of the form (9) is called a *band operator* if there exists an $R > 0$ such that $k_A(x, y) = 0$ whenever $\rho(x, y) > R$.

Example 5. Every operator aI of multiplication by a function $a \in l^\infty(X)$ is a band operator.

Example 6. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let T_α be the operator of shift by α on $l^p(X)$, i.e., $(T_\alpha u)(x) := u((-\alpha) \cdot x)$. Clearly, T_α is a band operator which acts as an isometry on $l^p(X)$. Hence, every operator of the form

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha T_\alpha \tag{10}$$

with $a_\alpha \in l^\infty(X)$ is a band operator (but there are band operators which cannot be represented of this form).

Proposition 7. *If A is a band operator on $l^p(X)$, then UAU^{-1} is a band operator on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$.*

Proof. The operator UAU^{-1} has the matrix representation

$$(UAU^{-1}f)_i(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta) f_j(\beta), \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n, i = 1, \dots, N$ and

$$r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta) := k_A(\alpha \cdot x_i, \beta \cdot x_j). \quad (12)$$

From (7) we conclude that

$$\rho(\alpha \cdot x_i, \beta \cdot x_j) = \rho(x_i, (\beta - \alpha) \cdot x_j) \rightarrow \infty$$

as $|\alpha - \beta| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, there is an $R_1 > 0$ such that $r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ if $|\alpha - \beta| > R_1$. In other words, every r_A^{ij} is the generating function of a band operator on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, implying that UAU^{-1} is a band operator on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$. \square

The preceding proposition implies in particular that every band operator is bounded on $l^p(X)$ for every $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ stand for the closure in $\mathcal{L}(l^p(X))$ of the set of all band operators. The operators in $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ are called *band-dominated operators on X* . Note that $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ depends heavily on p whereas the class of the band operators is independent of p . One can show easily (for example, by employing the preceding proposition and the well-known properties of band-dominated operators on \mathbb{Z}^n) that $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ is a Banach algebra for every p and a C^* -algebra for $p = 2$.

Proposition 8. *Let X be a periodic discrete metric space and $p \in [1, \infty]$. The mapping $A \mapsto UAU^{-1}$ is an isomorphism between the Banach algebras $\mathcal{A}_p(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$.*

Proof. Note that an operator A is a band operator on $l^p(X)$ if and only if UAU^{-1} is a band operator on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$. The assertion follows since the mapping $A \mapsto UAU^{-1}$ is a continuous isomorphism between the Banach algebras $\mathcal{L}(l^p(X))$ and $\mathcal{L}(l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N))$. \square

3.3. Limit operators and Fredholm property

Let X be a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete metric space. The goal of this section is a criterion for the Fredholm property of band-dominated operators on $l^p(X)$. This criterion makes use of the limit operators of A which, in a sense, reflect the behavior of A at infinity. Here is the definition.

Definition 9. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a sequence tending to infinity. We say that A_h is a limit operator of $A \in \mathcal{L}(l^p(X))$ defined by the sequence h if*

$$T_{h(m)}^{-1} A T_{h(m)} \rightarrow A_h \quad \text{and} \quad T_{h(m)}^{-1} A^* T_{h(m)} \rightarrow A_h^* \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

strongly on $l^p(X)$ and $l^p(X)^ = l^q(X)$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$, respectively. We denote the set of all limit operators of $A \in \mathcal{L}(l^p(X))$ by $\text{op}_p(A)$ and call this set the operator spectrum of A .*

Note that the generating function of the shifted operator $T_\alpha^{-1} A T_\alpha$ is related with that of A by

$$k_{T_\alpha^{-1} A T_\alpha}(x, y) = k_A((-\alpha) \cdot x, (-\alpha) \cdot y) \quad (13)$$

and that the generating functions of $T_{h(m)}^{-1}AT_{h(m)}$ converge point-wise on $X \times X$ to the generating function of the limit operator A_h if the latter exists.

It is an important property of band-dominated operators that their operator spectrum is not empty. More general, one has the following result which can be proved by an obvious Cantor diagonal argument (see [33–35]).

Proposition 10. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$. Then every sequence $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow G$ which tends to infinity possesses a subsequence g such that the limit operator A_g of A with respect to g exists.*

The following theorem settles the basic relation between the Fredholm property of a band-dominated operator A and the invertibility of its limit operators. It follows easily from theorem 2 if one takes into account that the mapping

$$\mathcal{A}_p(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N), \quad A \mapsto UAU^{-1}$$

is an isomorphism of Banach algebras and that the relation

$$(UAU^{-1})_h = UA_hU^{-1}$$

between the limit operators of A and UAU^{-1} holds.

Theorem 11. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$. Then A is a Fredholm operator on $l^p(X)$ if and only if all limit operators of A are invertible and if the norms of their inverses are uniformly bounded,*

$$\sup_{A_h \in op(A)} \|A_h^{-1}\| < \infty. \tag{14}$$

3.4. The Wiener algebra of X

The goal of this section is to single out a class of band-dominated operators for which the uniform boundedness condition (14) is redundant.

Definition 12. *Let X be a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete metric space. The set $\mathcal{W}(X)$ consists of all linear operators A for which there is a function h_A in $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ such that*

$$\max_{j \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \sum_{i=1}^N |r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta)| \leq h_A(\alpha - \beta) \tag{15}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We introduce a norm in $\mathcal{W}(X)$ by

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{W}(X)} := \inf \|h\|_{l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)}, \tag{16}$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences $h \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for which inequality (15) holds in place of h_A .

Proposition 13. *The set $\mathcal{W}(X)$ with the norm (16) is a Banach algebra, and the mapping $A \mapsto UAU^{-1}$ is an isometric isomorphism between the Banach algebras $\mathcal{W}(X)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$.*

The proof is straightforward. We refer to the algebra $\mathcal{W}(X)$ as the *Wiener algebra*.

Proposition 14. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

- (i) *Every operator $A \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ is bounded on each of the spaces $l^p(X)$.*
- (ii) *The algebra $\mathcal{W}(X)$ is inverse closed in each of the algebras $\mathcal{L}(l^p(X))$.*

Proposition 14 follows from proposition 13 and the related results for the special case $X = \mathbb{Z}^n$ presented in [33, 34] and [35].

The following result highlights the importance of the Wiener algebra in our context.

Theorem 15. *Let $A \in \mathcal{W}(X)$. Then A is a Fredholm operator on $l^p(X)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$ if and only if there is a $p_0 \in [1, \infty]$ such that all limit operators of A are invertible on $l^{p_0}(X)$. Moreover $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A$ does not depend on $p \in (1, \infty)$, and*

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \bigcup_{A_h \in \text{op}(A)} \text{sp } A_h. \quad (17)$$

Theorem 15 follows immediately from proposition 13 and theorems 3 and 4.

Corollary 16. *Let $B := A + aI$ where $A \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a(x) = 0$. Then $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} B = \text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A$.*

The following result states a sufficient condition for the absence of the discrete spectrum of an operator $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$.

Proposition 17. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$ and suppose there is a sequence $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ for which the limit operator A_h exists in the sense of norm convergence,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{h_m}^{-1} A T_{h_m} - A_h\| = 0. \quad (18)$$

Then $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \text{sp } A$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \notin \text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A$. Then, by theorem 11, $\lambda \notin \text{sp } A_h$. It follows from (18) that $\lambda \notin \text{sp } A$. Hence, $\text{sp } A \subseteq \text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A$, which implies the assertion. \square

4. Periodic operators on periodic metric spaces

Let X be a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete metric space. An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(l^p(X))$ is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic if it is invariant with respect to left shifts by elements of \mathbb{Z}^n ,

$$T_\alpha A = A T_\alpha \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The following is a straightforward consequence of proposition 17.

Proposition 18. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_p(X)$ be a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic operator. Then*

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \text{sp } A.$$

Similar results are well known for periodic differential operators (see, e.g. [15]).

An explicit description of the spectrum of \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic operators can be given via the Fourier transform. One easily checks that $A \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic if and only if the generating function k_A of A is periodic in the sense that, for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and all points $x, y \in X$,

$$k_A(\gamma \cdot x, \gamma \cdot y) = k_A(x, y).$$

Periodicity thus implies that the functions $r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta) := k_A(\alpha \cdot x_i, \beta \cdot x_j)$ satisfy

$$r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = k_A((\alpha - \gamma) \cdot x_i, (\beta - \gamma) \cdot x_j)$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, whence $r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta) = r_A^{ij}(\alpha - \beta, 0)$. Hence, for $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (UAU^{-1}f)_i(\alpha) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} r_A^{ij}(\alpha, \beta)(U_j f)(\beta) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} r_A^{ij}(\beta, 0)(V_\beta U_j f)(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $|r_A^{ij}(\beta, 0)| \leq h(\beta)$ for some non-negative function $h \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Thus, we obtained the following.

Proposition 19. *Every \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic operator $A \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ is isometrically equivalent to the shift invariant matrix operator $UAU^{-1} \in W(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$.*

Under the conditions of the previous proposition, we associate with A a function $\sigma_A : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, called the symbol of A , via

$$\sigma_A(t) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} r_A(\beta)t^\beta,$$

where \mathbb{T} is the torus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, $r_A(\beta)$ is the matrix $(r_A^{ij}(\beta, 0))_{i,j=1}^N$, and $t^\beta := t_1^{\beta_1} \dots t_n^{\beta_n}$ for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. It is well known that the operator

$$(\tilde{A}u)(\alpha) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} r_A(\alpha - \beta, 0)u(\beta)$$

is invertible on $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with $p \in [1, \infty]$ if and only if $\det \sigma_A \neq 0$ on \mathbb{T}^n .

For $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$, let $\lambda_A^j(t)$ with $j = 1, \dots, N$ denote the eigenvalues of the matrix $\sigma_A(t)$. The enumeration of the eigenvalues can be chosen in such a way that $\lambda_A^j(t)$ depends continuously on t for every j . The sets

$$C_j(A) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda = \lambda_A^j(t), t \in \mathbb{T}^n\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \tag{19}$$

are called the *spectral* or *dispersion curves* of A .

Proposition 20. *Let $A \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ be a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic operator. Then*

$$\text{sp } A = \text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j(A). \tag{20}$$

5. Operators with slowly oscillating coefficients on periodic metric spaces

Let again X be a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete metric space. A function $a \in l^\infty(X)$ is *slowly oscillating* if, for every two points $x, y \in X$,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} (a(\alpha \cdot x) - a(\alpha \cdot y)) = 0. \tag{21}$$

The set of all slowly oscillating functions on X forms a C^* -subalgebra of $l^\infty(X)$ which we denote by $\text{SO}(X)$. Note that the class $\text{SO}(X)$ does not only depend on X but also on the action of \mathbb{Z}^n on X .

Let $a \in \text{SO}(X)$ and $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow G$ be a sequence tending to infinity. The Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem and a Cantor diagonal argument imply that there is a subsequence g of h such that the functions $x \mapsto a(g(m) \cdot x)$ converge point-wise to a function $a_g \in l^\infty(X)$ as

$m \rightarrow \infty$. The condition (21) ensures that the limit function a_g is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic on X . Indeed, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$a_g(x) - a_g(\alpha \cdot x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (a(g(m) \cdot x) - (a(g(m) \cdot (\alpha \cdot x)))) = 0.$$

We consider the operators of the form

$$A = \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} b_k A_{kl} c_l I, \tag{22}$$

where the A_{kl} are \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic operators in $\mathcal{W}(X)$ and the b_k and c_l are slowly oscillating functions satisfying

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \|b_k\|_{l^\infty(X)} \|A_{kl}\|_{\mathcal{W}(X)} \|c_l\|_{l^\infty(X)} < \infty.$$

Let $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a sequence tending to infinity. Then

$$T_{h(m)}^{-1} A T_{h(m)} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} (T_{h(m)}^{-1} b_k) A_{kl} (T_{h(m)}^{-1} c_l) I.$$

One can assume without loss that the point-wise limits

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (T_{h(m)}^{-1} b_k)(x) =: b_k^h, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (T_{h(m)}^{-1} c_l)(x) =: c_l^h$$

exist (otherwise we pass to a suitable subsequence of h). As we have seen above, the limit functions b_k^h and c_l^h are \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic on X . Consequently, the limit operators A_h of A are \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic operators of the form

$$A_h = \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} b_k^h A_{kl} c_l^h I.$$

Now, the following is an immediate consequence of theorem 15.

Theorem 21. *Let A be an operator with slowly oscillating coefficients of the form (22). Then A is a Fredholm operator on $l^p(X)$ if and only if, for every operator $A_h \in \text{op } A$,*

$$\det \sigma_{A_h}(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{T}^n.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} A = \bigcup_{A_h \in \text{op}(A)} \text{sp } A_h = \bigcup_{A_h \in \text{op}(A)} \bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{C}_j(A_h).$$

6. Schrödinger operators on periodic graphs

By a *discrete infinite graph* we mean a countable set X together with a binary relation \sim which is anti-reflexive (i.e., there is no $x \in X$ such that $x \sim x$) and symmetric and which has the property that for each $x \in X$ there are only finitely many $y \in X$ such that $x \sim y$. The points of X are called the *vertices* and the pairs (x, y) with $x \sim y$ the *edges* of the graph. Due to anti-reflexivity, the graphs under consideration do not possess loops. We write $m(x)$ for the number of edges starting (or ending) at the vertex x of X . If $x \sim y$, we say that the vertices x, y are *adjacent*.

For technical reasons it will be convenient to assume that the graph (X, \sim) is connected, i.e., given distinct points $x, y \in X$, there are finitely many points $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that

$x_0 = x, x_n = y$ and $x_i \sim x_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. The smallest number n with this property defines the *graph distance* $\rho(x, y)$ of x and y . Together with $\rho(x, x) := 0$, this defines a metric ρ on X which makes X to a discrete metric space.

We call (X, \sim) a \mathbb{Z}^n -*periodic discrete graph* if it is a connected discrete infinite graph, if the group \mathbb{Z}^n operates freely from the left on X , and if the group action respects the graph structure, i.e.,

$$x \sim y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \alpha \cdot x \sim \alpha \cdot y$$

for arbitrary vertices $x, y \in X$ and group elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Clearly, every group with these properties leaves the graph distance invariant, that is, X becomes a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete metric space. If (X, \sim) is a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic graph, then the function m is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic, too, that is, $m(\alpha \cdot x) = m(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Every \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete graph $\Gamma := (X, \sim)$ induces a canonical difference operator Δ_Γ on $l^p(X)$, called the (discrete) *Laplace operator* or *Laplacian* of Γ , via

$$(\Delta_\Gamma u)(x) := \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} u(y), \quad x \in X. \tag{23}$$

Evidently, Δ_Γ is a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic band operator.

Let $v \in l^\infty(X)$. The operator $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma := \Delta_\Gamma + vI$ is referred to as the (discrete) *Schrödinger operator with electric potential* v on the graph X . Given a sequence $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ tending to infinity, there exist a subsequence g of h and a function $v^g \in l^\infty(X)$ such that $v(g(m) \cdot x) \rightarrow v^g(x)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x \in X$. It turns out that the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^g := \Delta_\Gamma + v^g I$$

is the limit operator of \mathcal{H}_Γ defined by the sequence g and that every limit operator of \mathcal{H}_Γ is of this form. Thus, theorem 15 implies the following.

Theorem 22. *The Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \Delta_\Gamma + vI$ with bounded potential v is a Fredholm operator on $l^p(X)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$ if and only if there is a $p_0 \in [1, \infty]$ such that all limit operators of \mathcal{H}_Γ are invertible on $l^{p_0}(X)$. The essential spectrum of \mathcal{H}_Γ does not depend on $p \in (1, \infty)$, and*

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} \mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \bigcup_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^h \in \text{op}(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma)} \text{sp} \mathcal{H}_\Gamma^h. \tag{24}$$

For an explicit description of the essential spectrum of the Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H}_Γ we first assume that v is a periodic potential. Then UvU^{-1} is the operator of multiplication by the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(v(x_1), \dots, v(x_N))$. Hence,

$$U\mathcal{H}_\Gamma U^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \{-1,0,1\}^n} a_\alpha V_\alpha + \text{diag}(v(x_1), \dots, v(x_N)),$$

where the a_α are certain constant $N \times N$ matrices which depend on the structure of the graph Γ . Consequently,

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma}(t) = \sum_{\alpha \in \{-1,0,1\}^n} a_\alpha t^\alpha + \text{diag}(v(x_1), \dots, v(x_N)), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^n.$$

If the potential v is real valued, then \mathcal{H}_Γ is self-adjoint on $l^2(X)$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma}$ is a Hermitian function. From proposition 20 we conclude that

$$\text{sp} \mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{C}_j(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_j(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma)$ is the real interval $[a_j, b_j]$ with $a_j := \min_{t \in \mathbb{T}^n} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma}^j(t)$ and $b_j := \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}^n} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma}^j(t)$.




Figure 1. The zig-zag graph.

Next we consider Schrödinger operators $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \Delta_\Gamma + vI$ with slowly oscillating potential v . As we have seen in the previous section, all limit operators of \mathcal{H}_Γ are of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^g = \Delta_\Gamma + v^g I$$

with periodic potentials v^g . Theorems 22 and 15 imply the following.

Theorem 23. *Let $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \Delta_\Gamma + vI$ with $v \in \text{SO}(X)$. Then*

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} \mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \bigcup_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^g \in \text{op}(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma)} \bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{C}_j(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^g)$$

with the spectral curves $\mathcal{C}_j(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^g)$ defined as in (19).

If the slowly oscillating potential v is real valued, then the spectral curves $\mathcal{C}_j(\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^g)$ are (possibly overlapping) real intervals.

The following examples clarify the structure of the essential spectrum of Schrödinger operators on some special periodic graphs. The graphs under consideration are embedded into \mathbb{R}^n for some n . This embedding allows one to consider the vertices of the graph as vectors and to use the linear structure of \mathbb{R}^n in order to describe the group action. Note that we consider slowly oscillating potentials in these examples. The case of periodic potentials was studied in [13, 14, 19].

Example 24 (The zig-zag graph). Let $\Gamma = (X, \sim)$ be the zig-zag graph in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 as shown in figure 1. The graph Γ is periodic with respect to the action $g \cdot x_n := x_{n+2g}$ of the group \mathbb{Z} , and the set $\mathcal{M} = \{x_1, x_2\}$ of vertices represents the fundamental domain.

One should mention that, as a graph, the zig-zag graph is isomorphic to the Cayley graph of the group \mathbb{Z} and, in both cases, it is the same group \mathbb{Z} which acts on the graph. The difference lies in the way in which \mathbb{Z} acts. For the Cayley graph, the group element α maps the vertex x to $\alpha + x$, whereas α maps x to $2\alpha + x$ for the zig-zag graph. The latter action is visualized by the zig-zag form.

The operator $U \Delta_\Gamma U^{-1}$ has the matrix representation

$$U \Delta_\Gamma U^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I + V_{(1,0)} \\ I + V_{(-1,0)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis induced by \mathcal{M} . Hence,

$$\sigma_{\Delta_\Gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+t \\ 1+t^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and a straightforward calculation shows that the spectral curves of Δ_Γ are

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda = \pm \cos^2 \varphi / 2, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi]\}.$$

Hence, the spectrum of the Laplacian Δ_Γ of the zig-zag graph is the interval $[-1, 1]$.

Next consider the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma := \Delta_\Gamma + vI$ with \mathbb{Z} -periodic potential v . Thus, v is completely determined by its values on \mathcal{M} , and we write $v_1 := v(x_1)$ and $v_2 := v(x_2)$. Then

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma - \lambda I}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 - \lambda & (1+t)/2 \\ (1+t^{-1})/2 & v_2 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T},$$

which implies that the spectral curves of \mathcal{H}_Γ are

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(v_1 - v_2)^2 + 4 \cos^2 \varphi/2}}{2(v_1 + v_2)}, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi] \right\}.$$

If, for example, v_1 and v_2 are real numbers with $v_1 < v_2$, then $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} \mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \text{sp} \mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ is the union of the disjoint intervals

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{(v_1 - v_2)^2 + 4}}{2(v_1 + v_2)}, \frac{v_1}{v_1 + v_2} \right] \cup \left[\frac{v_2}{v_1 + v_2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{(v_1 - v_2)^2 + 4}}{2(v_1 + v_2)} \right], \tag{25}$$

that is, one observes a gap $(\frac{v_1}{v_1+v_2}, \frac{v_2}{v_1+v_2})$ in the spectrum.

Finally, let the potential v be slowly oscillating. Then the essential spectrum of \mathcal{H}_Γ is the union

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup_h \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{(v_1^h - v_2^h)^2 + 4}}{2(v_1^h + v_2^h)}, \frac{\min\{v_1^h, v_2^h\}}{v_1^h + v_2^h} \right] \\ & \bigcup_h \left[\frac{\max\{v_1^h, v_2^h\}}{v_1^h + v_2^h}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{(v_1^h - v_2^h)^2 + 4}}{2(v_1^h + v_2^h)} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where the unions are taken with respect to all sequences h for which the limits

$$v_j^h := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v(h(m) \cdot x_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{27}$$

exist. Set

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma} &:= \limsup_{\mathbb{Z} \ni \alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(\alpha \cdot x_1)}{v(\alpha \cdot x_1) + v(\alpha \cdot x_2)}, \\ b_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma} &:= \liminf_{\mathbb{Z} \ni \alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(\alpha \cdot x_2)}{v(\alpha \cdot x_1) + v(\alpha \cdot x_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if the inequality

$$a_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma} < b_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma} \tag{28}$$

holds, then the operator \mathcal{H}_Γ has the gap $(a_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma}, b_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma})$ in its essential spectrum. Of course, this interval can contain points of the discrete spectrum of \mathcal{H}_Γ .

Example 25. [The honeycomb graph] Let $\Gamma = (X, \sim)$ be the hexagonal graph shown in figure 2. We consider this graph as embedded into \mathbb{R}^2 and let e_1 and e_2 be the vectors indicated in the figure. The group \mathbb{Z}^2 operates on Γ via

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cdot x := x + \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2$$

(where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in X$). A fundamental domain \mathcal{M} for this action is provided by any two vertices x_1, x_2 as marked in the figure.

Hence, we have to identify $l^p(X)$ with $l^p(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$, and the Laplacian Δ_Γ has the following matrix representation with respect to \mathcal{M}

$$U \Delta_\Gamma U^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I + V_{e_1} + V_{e_2} \\ I + V_{e_1}^{-1} + V_{e_2}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$




Figure 2. The honeycomb graph.
(This figure is in colour only in the electronic version)

Consequently,

$$\sigma_{\Delta_{\Gamma}}(t) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1+t_1+t_2 \\ 1+t_1^{-1}+t_2^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

and the spectral curves of the Laplacian Δ_{Γ} are

$$\mathcal{C}_{\pm} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda = \pm |1 + e^{i\varphi_1} + e^{i\varphi_2}|/3, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi]\}.$$

The curves \mathcal{C}_{\pm} coincide with the intervals $[0, 1]$ and $[-1, 0]$, respectively, whence $\text{sp } \Delta_{\Gamma} = [-1, 1]$.

Let now v be a \mathbb{Z}^2 -periodic potential and set $v_j := v(x_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. A calculation similar to example 24 yields that the spectral curves of the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma} := \Delta_{\Gamma} + vI$ are

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(v_1 - v_2)^2 + 4\mu(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}}{2(v_1 + v_2)} \right\},$$

where

$$\mu(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := |1 + e^{i\varphi_1} + e^{i\varphi_2}|^2/9, \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Hence, as in example 24, $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma} = \text{sp } \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$ is given by the union (25).

Let finally v be a slowly oscillating potential on X . Since the image of the function μ is the interval $[0, 1]$, the essential spectrum of the Schrödinger operator on the honeycomb graph Γ is given by formulae (26) and (27). If the condition (28) holds, then a gap $(a_{\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}}, b_{\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}})$ occurs in the essential spectrum of \mathcal{H}_{Γ} .

7. A three-particle problem

Let $\Gamma := (X, \sim)$ be a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic discrete graph. We consider the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{H}u := \Delta_{\Gamma} \otimes I_X + I_X \otimes \Delta_{\Gamma} + (W_1 I_X) \otimes I_X + I_X \otimes (W_2 I_X) + W_{12} I \quad (29)$$

on $l^2(X \times X)$. This operator describes the motion of two particles with coordinates $x^1, x^2 \in X$ with masses 1 on the graph Γ around a heavy nuclei located at the point $x_0 \in X$. Therefore, \mathcal{H} is called a 3-particle Schrödinger operator. In (29), Δ_Γ is again the Laplacian on the graph Γ , I_X is the identity operator on $l^2(X)$, $I = I_X \otimes I_X$ is the identity operator on $l^2(X \times X)$, W_1 and W_2 are real-valued functions on X defined by

$$W_j(x^j) = w_j(\rho(x^j, x_0)), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and W_{12} is a real-valued function on $X \times X$ given by

$$W_{12}(x^1, x^2) = w_{12}(\rho(x^1, x^2)).$$

Here ρ denotes the given metric on X , and w_1, w_2 and w_{12} are functions on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfy

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} w_1(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} w_2(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} w_{12}(z) = 0.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{H} is a band operator on $l^2(X \times X)$. We are going to describe its essential spectrum via formula (24), for which we need the limit operators of \mathcal{H} and their spectra. Note that the spectrum of the Laplacian Δ_Γ depends on the structure of the graph Γ and that this spectrum has a band structure (=is the union of closed intervals). In examples 24 and 25 we had $\text{sp } \Delta_\Gamma = [-1, 1]$.

We agree upon the following notation. For non-empty subsets E, F of \mathbb{R} , we let

$$E + F := \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z = x + y, x \in E, y \in F\}$$

denote their algebraic sum and set $2E := E + E$.

Let $g = (g^1, g^2) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a sequence tending to infinity. We have to distinguish the following cases (all other possible cases can be reduced to these cases by passing to suitable subsequences of g):

Case 1. The sequence g^1 tends to infinity, whereas g^2 is constant. Then the limit operator \mathcal{H}_g of \mathcal{H} is unitarily equivalent to the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_2 := \Delta_\Gamma \otimes I_X + I_X \otimes (\Delta_\Gamma + W_2 I_X). \tag{30}$$

Case 2. Here g^2 tends to infinity and g^1 is constant. Then the limit operator \mathcal{H}_g of \mathcal{H} is unitarily equivalent to the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_1 := (\Delta_\Gamma + W_1 I_X) \otimes I_X + I_X \otimes \Delta_\Gamma. \tag{31}$$

Case 3. Both g^1 and g^2 tend to infinity. There are two subcases:

Case 3a. The sequence $g^1 - g^2$ tends to infinity. In this case the limit operator is the free discrete Hamiltonian

$$\Delta_\Gamma \otimes I_X + I_X \otimes \Delta_\Gamma$$

the spectrum of which is $2 \text{ sp } \Delta_\Gamma$.

Case 3b. The sequence $g^1 - g^2$ is constant. Then the limit operator \mathcal{H}_g of \mathcal{H} is unitarily equivalent to the operator of interaction

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} := \Delta_\Gamma \otimes I_X + I_X \otimes \Delta_\Gamma + W_{12} I. \tag{32}$$

Note that the operators \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H}_2 and \mathcal{H}_{12} are invariant with respect to shifts by elements of the form $(0, g)$, $(g, 0)$ and (g, g) of $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, respectively. It follows from proposition 17 that these operators do not possess discrete spectra. From formula (24) we further conclude

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}} \mathcal{H} = \text{sp} \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \text{sp} \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \text{sp} \mathcal{H}_{12}. \quad (33)$$

The following proposition is well known. For a proof see [36], theorem VIII.33 and its corollary.

Proposition 26. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ be bounded self-adjoint operators on Hilbert spaces H, K . Then*

$$\text{sp}(A \otimes I_K + I_H \otimes B) = \text{sp} A + \text{sp} B.$$

In our setting, this proposition implies that

$$\text{sp} \mathcal{H}_2 = \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma + \text{sp}(\Delta_\Gamma + W_2 I_X).$$

Since the Schrödinger operator $\Delta_\Gamma + W_2 I_X$ is a compact perturbation of the Laplacian Δ_Γ , one has

$$\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}(\Delta_\Gamma + W_2 I_X) = \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma \cup \{\lambda_k^{(2)}\}_{k=1}^\infty,$$

where $\{\lambda_k^{(2)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is the sequence of the eigenvalues of $\Delta_\Gamma + W_2 I_X$ which are located outside the spectrum of Δ_Γ . Thus,

$$\text{sp} \mathcal{H}_2 = 2 \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma + \cup_{k=1}^\infty (\lambda_k^{(2)} + \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma).$$

In the same way one finds

$$\text{sp} \mathcal{H}_1 = 2 \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma + \cup_{k=1}^\infty (\lambda_k^{(1)} + \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma),$$

where the $\lambda_k^{(1)}$ run through the points of the discrete spectrum of $\Delta_\Gamma + W_1 I_X$ which are located outside the spectrum of Δ_Γ .

Recall that in examples 24 and 25, $\text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma = [-1, 1]$. Hence, in the context of these examples,

$$\text{sp} \mathcal{H}_j = [-2, 2] \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty [\lambda_k^{(j)} - 1, \lambda_k^{(j)} + 1].$$

One can also give a simple estimate for the location of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_{12} by means of the following well-known result (see, e.g., [23], p 357).

Proposition 27. *Let A be a bounded self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space H . Then $\{a, b\} \subseteq \text{sp} A \subseteq [a, b]$ where*

$$a := \inf_{\|h\|=1} \langle Ah, h \rangle, \quad b := \sup_{\|h\|=1} \langle Ah, h \rangle.$$

This observation implies the following inclusions for the spectra of the operators \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H}_2 and \mathcal{H}_{12} . For $j = 1, 2$ one has

$$2 \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma \subseteq \text{sp} \mathcal{H}_j \subseteq 2 \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma + \left[\inf_{x \in X} W_j(x), \sup_{x \in X} W_j(x) \right],$$

whereas

$$2 \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma \subseteq \text{sp} \mathcal{H}_{12} \subseteq 2 \text{sp} \Delta_\Gamma + \left[\inf_{y \in X \times X} W_{12}(y), \sup_{y \in X \times X} W_{12}(y) \right].$$

In the context of examples 24 and 25, these inclusions specify to

$$[-2, 2] \subseteq \operatorname{sp} \mathcal{H}_j \subseteq \left[-2 + \inf_{x \in X} W_j(x), 2 + \sup_{x \in X} W_j(x)\right],$$

$$[-2, 2] \subseteq \operatorname{sp} \mathcal{H}_{12} \subseteq \left[-2 + \inf_{x \in X \times X} W_{12}(x), 2 + \sup_{x \in X \times X} W_{12}(x)\right].$$

Thus, by theorem 22,

$$\operatorname{sp}_{\text{ess}} \mathcal{H} \subseteq [m - 2, M + 2],$$

where

$$m := \min \left\{ \inf_{x \in X} W_1(x), \inf_{x \in X} W_2(x), \inf_{x \in X \times X} W_{12}(x) \right\},$$

$$M := \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in X} W_1(x), \sup_{x \in X} W_2(x), \sup_{x \in X \times X} W_{12}(x) \right\}.$$

References

- [1] Albeverio S, Lakaev S N and Muminov Z I 2003 On the structure of the essential spectrum of the three-particle Schrödinger operators on a lattice *Preprint math-ph/0312050*
- [2] Albeverio S, Lakaev S N and Abdullaev J I 2003 On the finiteness of the discrete spectrum of four-particle lattice Schrödinger operators *Rep. Math. Phys.* **51** 43–70
- [3] Amrein W O, Măntoiu M and Purice R 2002 Propagation properties for Schrödinger operators affiliated with certain C^* -algebras *Ann. Inst. Henry Poincaré* **3** 1215–32
- [4] Berkolaiko G, Carlson R, Fulling S and Kuchment P (ed) 2006 *Quantum Graphs and Their Applications (Contemp. Math. vol 415)* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [5] Cattaneo C 1997 The spectrum of the continuous Laplacian on a graph *Monatsh. Math.* **124** 215–35
- [6] Cycon H L, Froese R G, Kirsch W and Simon B 1987 *Schrödinger Operators with Applications to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry* (New York: Springer)
- [7] Deift P 2000 *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach (Courant Lectures Notes Math. vol 3)* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [8] Georgescu V and Iftimovici A 2002 Crossed products of C^* -algebras and spectral analysis of quantum Hamiltonians *Commun. Math. Phys.* **228** 519–60
- [9] Georgescu V and Iftimovici A 2005 Localization at infinity and essential spectrum of quantum Hamiltonians *Preprint math-ph/0506051v1*
- [10] Harris P 2002 *Carbon Nano-Tubes and Related Structure* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [11] Jirari A 1995 *Second Order Sturm-Liouville Difference Equations and Orthogonal Polynomials (Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. vol 542)* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [12] Kato T 1966 *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* (Berlin: Springer)
- [13] Korotyaev E and Lobanov I 2006 Schrödinger operators on zigzag graphs *Preprint math.SP/06040006*
- [14] Korotyaev E and Lobanov I 2006 Zigzag periodic nanotube in magnetic field *Preprint math.SP/06040007*
- [15] Kuchment P 2004 On some spectral problems of mathematical physics *Partial Differential Equations and Inverse Problems (Contemp. Math. vol 362)* ed C Conca, R Manasevich, G Uhlmann and M S Vogelius (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [16] Kuchment P (ed) 2004 Quantum graphs and their applications *Waves Random Media* **14** 1
- [17] Kuchment P 2004 Quantum graphs I. Some basic structure *Waves Random Media* **14** 107–28
- [18] Kuchment P 2005 Quantum graphs II. Some spectral properties of quantum and combinatorial graphs *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** 4887–900
- [19] Kuchment P and Post O 2007 On the spectra of carbon nano-structures *Preprint math-ph/0612021v4*
- [20] Kuchment P and Vainberg B 2006 On the structure of eigenfunctions corresponding to embedded eigenvalues of locally perturbed periodic graph operators *Commun. Math. Phys.* **268** 673–86
- [21] Lakaev S N and Muminov Z I 2003 The asymptotics of the number of eigenvalues of a three-particle lattice Schrödinger operator *Func. Anal. Appl.* **37** 228–31
- [22] Last Y and Simon B 2005 The essential spectrum of Schrödinger, Jacobi, and CMV operators *Preprint 304* available at <http://www.math.caltech.edu/people/biblio.html>
- [23] Lax P D 2002 *Functional Analysis* (New York: Wiley-Interscience)

- [24] Măntoiu M 2002 C^* -algebras, dynamical systems at infinity and the essential spectrum of generalized Schrödinger operators *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **550** 211–29
- [25] Mattis D C 1986 The few-body problem on a lattice *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **58** 361–79
- [26] Mogilner A 1991 Hamiltonians in solid state physics as multiparticle discrete Schrödinger operators: Problems and results *Adv. Soviet Math.* vol 5 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [27] Pankrashkin K 2006 Spectra of Schrödinger operators on equilateral quantum graphs *Lett. Math. Phys.* **77** 139–54
- [28] Pauling L 1936 The diamagnetic anisotropy of aromatic molecules *J. Chem. Phys.* **4** 673–7
- [29] Rabinovich V S 2005 Essential spectrum of perturbed pseudodifferential operators. Applications to the Schrödinger, Klein–Gordon, and Dirac operators *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **12** 62–80
- [30] Rabinovich V S and Roch S 2004 Pseudodifference operators on weighted spaces and applications to discrete Schrödinger operators *Acta Appl. Math.* **84** 55–96
- [31] Rabinovich V S and Roch S 2006 The essential spectrum of Schrödinger operators on lattices *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** 8377–94
- [32] Rabinovich V S, Roch S and Roe J 2004 Fredholm indices of band-dominated operators *Integral Eqns. Oper. Theory* **49** 221–38
- [33] Rabinovich V S, Roch S and Silbermann B 1998 Fredholm theory and finite section method for band-dominated operators *Integral Eqns. Oper. Theory* **30** 452–95
- [34] Rabinovich V S, Roch S and Silbermann B 2001 Band-dominated operators with operator-valued coefficients, their Fredholm properties and finite sections *Integral Eqns. Oper. Theory* **40** 342–81
- [35] Rabinovich V S, Roch S and Silbermann B 2004 *Limit Operators and Their Applications in Operator Theory* (Basel: Birkhäuser)
- [36] Reed M and Simon B 1972 *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics: I. Functional Analysis* (New York: Academic)
- [37] Reed M and Simon B 1979 *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics: III. Scattering Theory* (New York: Academic)
- [38] Roch S 2004 Band-dominated operators on l^p -spaces: Fredholm indices and finite sections *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **70** 783–97
- [39] Ruedenberg K and Scherr C W 1953 Free-electron network model for conjugated systems: I. Theory *J. Chem. Phys.* **21** 1565–81
- [40] Saito R, Dresselhaus G and Dresselhaus M S 1998 *Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes* (London: Imperial College Press)
- [41] Shubin M A 1994 Discrete magnetic Laplacian *Commun. Math. Phys.* **164** 259–75
- [42] Yafaev D R 2000 *Scattering Theory: Some Old and New Problems (Lecture Notes Math.* vol 1735) (Berlin: Springer)